

Evaluation of shell theory performances via neural networks

*Original*

Evaluation of shell theory performances via neural networks / Petrolo, M.. - ELETTRONICO. - (2019). (Intervento presentato al convegno Second International Conference on Mechanics of Advanced Materials and Structures - ICMAMS 2019 tenutosi a Nanjing, China nel 19-22 October 2019) [10.5281/zenodo.3516599].

*Availability:*

This version is available at: 11583/2763032 since: 2019-10-23T11:37:24Z

*Publisher:*

Erasmo Carrera, Weiqiu Chen, Cun-Fa Gao, J.N. Reddy

*Published*

DOI:10.5281/zenodo.3516599

*Terms of use:*

openAccess

This article is made available under terms and conditions as specified in the corresponding bibliographic description in the repository

*Publisher copyright*

(Article begins on next page)

## EVALUATION OF SHELL THEORY PERFORMANCES VIA NEURAL NETWORKS

M. Petrolo

MUL<sup>2</sup> Group, Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Politecnico di Torino, Italy.

**Keywords:** *Structural Mechanics, Composite Materials, Computational Mechanics*

This paper presents a methodology to evaluate the performances of shell theories concerning the accuracy and computational cost. The approach has three components, i.e., the Carrera Unified Formulation (CUF), Axiomatic/Asymptotic Method (AMM), and Artificial Neural Networks (NN). CUF provides governing equations, e.g., for dynamic cases,

$$\mathbf{u}(x, y, z) = F_{\tau} N_i(z) \mathbf{u}_{\tau i}(x, y) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega_k} \int_{A_k} (\delta \epsilon^{kT} \boldsymbol{\sigma}^k + \rho^k \delta \mathbf{u}^{kT} \ddot{\mathbf{u}}^k) H_{\alpha}^k H_{\beta}^k d\Omega_k dz = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{m}_{\tau i s j}^k \ddot{\mathbf{u}}_{\tau i}^k + \mathbf{k}_{\tau s i j}^k \mathbf{u}_{\tau i}^k = 0 \quad (1)$$

The AAM leads to the Best Theory Diagram (BTD) by measuring the relevance of generalized displacement variables, see 1. On the BTD, a structural theory is identified by its degrees of freedom (DOF) and the error concerning a given output, e.g., natural frequencies, the transverse displacement, and stress values. The BTD theories provide the best accuracy for a given number of DOF and the minimum computational cost for a given accuracy. The performance of any other structural theory can be evaluated against the BTD. The computation of the BTD can be cumbersome as thousands of static or dynamic




Figure 1: Best Theory Diagram.

analyses are necessary. This paper overcomes this problem by using NN. The NN training makes use of the data from CUF-AAM. The inputs of the NN are combinations of the fifteen generalized displacement variables of a fourth-order model and the thickness ratio,

$$\begin{aligned} u_x &= u_{x1} + z u_{x2} + z^4 u_{x5} \\ u_y &= u_{y1} + z u_{y2} + z^3 u_{y4}, \quad h/a = 0.1, \Rightarrow [1111110010101000.1] \\ u_z &= u_{z1} + z u_{z2} + z^2 u_{z3} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Where '1' indicates an active variable and '0' a deactivated one, the targets for the NN training are the errors over the first natural frequencies or static responses. The use of NN leads to the BTD with some 10% of the analyses required by the full run case.